(

burdens it was under in hands of assignor. Set-off as applicable to insolvency cases. Dowler v. Cushwa, 27 Md. 365. As to set-off, see also Colton v. Drovers'

Bldg. Assn., 90 Md. 95.

Property in hands of an insolvent trustee is not liable to attachment by non-resident creditor. Pinckney v. Lanahan, 62 Md. 451 (overruling earlier cases to contrary; see note to Larrabee v. Talbott, 5 Gill, 426); Torrens v. Hammond. 10 Fed. 900.

Trustee's power of sale.

The insolvent trustee sells all insolvent's property (save as mentioned in sec. 25) free and discharged from liens, reserving the settlement of all priorities until final distribution. Eschbach v. Pitts, 6 Md. 75; Manahan v. Sammon, 3 Md. 473; Glenn v. Gill, 2 Md. 18.

The creditor's acquiring a lien in accordance with this section does not divest the trustee of his right to sell, which extends to all of the insolvent's property. Alexander v. Ghiselin, 5 Gill, 179.

This section makes it evident that where there is specific property, it must be sold. Zeigler v. King, 9 Md. 334.

Generally.

This section does not clothe insolvent court with powers and jurisdiction of a court of chancery; it is acting under special statutory powers. Gable v. Scott. 56 Md. 185. And see Bowie v. Jones, 1 Gill, 208; Paul v. Locust Point Co., 70 Md. 292.

The provisions of this section apply equally to voluntary and involuntary insolvency. A creditor who files his claim for a distributive share of an insolvent estate, will not be allowed to impeach the adjudication. Gottschalk v. Smith, 74 Md. 562.

Where A. issues an attachment against B. and the latter thereafter goes into insolvency, and C. still later gets a judgment against B. and issues an attachment thereon laying it in the hands of the insolvent trustee to affect the fund arising from a sale of the property attached by A., C. may intervene and move to quash A.'s attachment. Clarke v. Meixsell, 29 Md. 228.

Where there are three judgment debtors and one of them dies and the other two go into insolvency, and the judgment debt being filed against the insolvent trustee of the latter is resident the trustee the latter against the state.

estate of one of the latter, is paid by the trustee, the latter is entitled to two-thirds of the amount paid by him out of the proceeds of the sale of the real estate of the deceased judgment debtor, the evidence establishing the relation of principal and surety between his insolvent and the other judgment debtors. Walsh v. Boyle, 30 Md. 267.

This section relates only to cases of insolvency. Triebert v. Burgess, 11 Md. 462. The attachment may be on mesne process, as well as on judgment. Thomas v.

Brown, 67 Md. 515.

The insolvent trustee could not recover from the guarantors of debts of the insolvent. Colton v. Mayer, 90 Md. 716.

Cited but not construed in Insolvent Estate of Leiman, 32 Md. 241; State v. Mayugh, 13 Md. 377. The landlord's lien on crops reserved as rent is not divested by the tenant's in-

solvency—art. 53, sec. 23.

As to the insolvency of agents and factors as bearing upon consigned goods and money due therefor, see art. 2, sec. 7, et seq.

An. Code, sec. 12. 1904, sec. 12. 1888, sec. 12. 1854, ch. 193, sec. 11.

The said courts, or the judge thereof in the recess, may remove any trustee for misconduct, or may, at discretion, discharge a trustee who applies to be discharged.

Where a trustee's interests are conflicting, he should ask to be relieved. Hoffman v. Armstrong, 90 Md. 132.

See sec. 13 and notes.

An. Code, sec. 13. 1904, sec. 13. 1888, sec. 13. 1854, ch. 193, sec. 12. 1880, ch. 172.

The said courts, or judges thereof, shall prescribe the penalty of the bonds of the trustees and approve the security therein, and may order